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## Global regime for sample covariance matrices

We consider a sequence of real or complex $m \times n$ matrices

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma_{n, m} n=1,2, \ldots \\
\Gamma_{n, m}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma_{11}^{(n)} & \ldots & \gamma_{1 n}^{(n)} \\
\gamma_{21}^{(n)} & \ldots & \gamma_{2 n}^{(n)} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\gamma_{m 1}^{(n)} & \ldots & \gamma_{m n}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $m \sim c n$ and $c>1$. We suppose that these matrices are isotropic, that is:

$$
\text { for all } i, j \quad \mathbb{E} \gamma_{i j}^{(n)}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{E}\left|\gamma_{i j}^{(n)}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{n}
$$

in the complex case, we suppose moreover that $\mathbb{E}\left(\gamma_{i j}^{(n)}\right)^{2}=0$.

## Eigenvalue counting measure

Denote $\lambda_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n}$ the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian) $n \times n$ matrix $\Gamma^{*} \Gamma$ and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure $N_{n, m}$, setting for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$
N_{n, m}(\Delta)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\ell \in[1, n]: \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\right\} / n .
$$
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Let $c>1$, it was shown by Marchenko and Pastur [MP] (1967) that if all the components of the matrices are i.i.d. random variables, then there exists a non-random probability measure $N$ such that for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have the convergence in probability

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty, m / n \rightarrow c} N_{n, m}(\Delta)=N(\Delta)
$$

where $N$ is the so-called Marchenko-Pastur law.

## Eigenvalue counting measure

Denote $\lambda_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n}$ the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian) $n \times n$ matrix $\Gamma^{*} \Gamma$ and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure $N_{n, m}$, setting for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$
N_{n, m}(\Delta)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\ell \in[1, n]: \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\right\} / n .
$$

Let $c>1$, it was shown by Marchenko and Pastur [MP] (1967) that if all the components of the matrices are i.i.d. random variables, then there exists a non-random probability measure $N$ such that for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have the convergence in probability

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty, m / n \rightarrow c} N_{n, m}(\Delta)=N(\Delta)
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where $N$ is the so-called Marchenko-Pastur law.
$N$ is supported on the interval $[a, b]$ with $a=\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^{2}, b=\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^{2}$ and with density

$$
\frac{c}{2 \pi x} \sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}, \quad x \in[a, b]
$$
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Let $c>1$, it was shown by Marchenko and Pastur [MP] (1967) that if all the components of the matrices are i.i.d. random variables, then there exists a non-random probability measure $N$ such that for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have the convergence in probability

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty / n \rightarrow c} N_{n, m}(\Delta)=N(\Delta)
$$

where $N$ is the so-called Marchenko-Pastur law.
Note: The particular case with Gaussian components is known since the 30th in statistics as the Wishart matrix.

## General setting and spherical case

Let $\left\{Y_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$ be i.i.d. random vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) and $\left\{\tau_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$ be i.i.d. random variables with common probability law $\sigma$. Set
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H_{n, m}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}
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Denote $\lambda_{1} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{n}$ the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian) $n \times n$ matrix $H_{n, m}$ and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure $N_{n, m}$, setting for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$

$$
N_{n, m}(\Delta)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\ell \in[1, n]: \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\right\} / n
$$

It was shown by Marchenko and Pastur [MP](1967) that if $\left\{Y_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$ are uniformly distributed over the unit sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ), then there exists a non-random probability measure $N$ such that for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have the convergence in probability

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow c} N_{n, m}(\Delta)=N(\Delta) .
$$

$L_{\alpha}(X)=Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}(X)=\left(X, Y_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$

## Non independent entries: the $\ell_{p}$ case

A more general but similar case as the spherical one was observed by L . Pastur and A. P. (2004).
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Let $p \geq 1$. Let $\left\{Y_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$ be uniformly distributed over a ball $\left\{\sum_{1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p} \leq r^{p}\right\}$ of the $n$-dimensional $\ell_{p}^{n}$ space that has been rescaled so that the matrix
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is isotropic. Then the Marchenko-Pastur theorem is also valid.
The proof used the Stieltjes transform method of [MP] and the fact that the square of coordinates functionals in $\ell_{p}^{n}$ space are negatively correlated (Anttila-Ball-Perissinaki).
This property is very particular to the $\ell_{p}$ space (or of similar spaces) and is not true in general even for unconditional space (see S. Bobkov and J. O. Wojtaszczyk).
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The method is based on the following result of Barthe - Guédon Mendelson - Naor.

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $Z$ be independent so that the first have a distribution with a density of the form $c_{p} e^{-|t|^{p}}$ and the last has an exponential law. Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\frac{X}{\left(\sum_{1}^{n}\left|X_{i}\right|^{p}+Z\right)^{1 / p}}
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generates the Lebesgue measure on $\left\{\sum_{1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p} \leq 1\right\}$.
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The method is based on the following result of Barthe - Guédon Mendelson - Naor.

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and $Z$ be independent so that the first have a distribution with a density of the form $c_{p} e^{-|t|^{p}}$ and the last has an exponential law. Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\frac{X}{\left(\sum_{1}^{n}\left|X_{i}\right|^{p}+Z\right)^{1 / p}}
$$

generates the Lebesgue measure on $\left\{\sum_{1}^{n}\left|x_{i}\right|^{p} \leq 1\right\}$.
This representation which creates almost independent entries allows to transfer results on Wishart matrices to this case of random sampling by bringing back to the classical Marchenko-Pastur theorem for i.i.d. entries.

## Log-concave setting

Definition of isotropic vectors. A random real vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called isotropic if
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where $|X|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of $X$.
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Recall also that a function $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called log-concave if for any $\theta \in[0,1]$ and any $X_{1}, X_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $f\left(\theta X_{1}+(1-\theta) X_{2}\right) \geq f\left(X_{1}\right)^{\theta} f\left(X_{2}\right)^{1-\theta}$.

A measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a log-concave density will be called log-concave.

## Universal principle for log-concave measure

Theorem (L. Pastur and A. P.) Let $\left\{Y_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$ be i.i.d. isotropic random vectors of $\mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$ with a log-concave distribution and $\left\{\tau_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$ be i.i.d. random variables with a common probability law $\sigma$. Consider random matrices

$$
H_{n, m}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}
$$

Then there exist a probability measure $N$ such that for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have in probability

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty, m \rightarrow \infty, m / n \rightarrow c \in[0, \infty)} N_{n, m}(\Delta)=N(\Delta) .
$$

- $\quad N$ is uniquely determined by its Stieltjes transform which satisfies the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=-\left(z-c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d \tau)}{1+\tau f(z)}\right)^{-1} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Stieltjes transform method: [MP] (1967)

Introduce the Stieltjes transform

$$
f(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{N(d \lambda)}{\lambda-z}, \Im z \neq 0
$$

of a measure $N$ and the resolvent of a real symmetric (hermitian) matrix $A$

$$
G_{A}(z)=(A-z)^{-1}, \Im z \neq 0 .
$$

The use of the Stieltjes transform is based on the spectral theorem. Let $N_{n, m}$ be the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of $H_{n, m}$. Denote

$$
g_{n, m}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{N_{n, m}(d \lambda)}{\lambda-z}, \Im z \neq 0
$$

then

$$
g_{n, m}(z)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{n, m}-z\right)^{-1}:=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} G_{H_{n, m}}(z) .
$$

## Stieltjes transform method (2)

The mechanism of the proof is the following.

- The expectations of the the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of $H_{n, m}$ will converge weakly to the measure, whose Stieltjes transform solves

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=-\left(z-c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d \tau)}{1+\tau f(z)}\right)^{-1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This equation has a unique solution in the class we consider).

- The Stieltjes transform is a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures and a certain well known class of analytic functions and it is continuous if one consider weak convergence of measure on one side and uniform convergence on compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ on the other side.


## Beginning the proof (1)

- Since for any interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left\{N_{n, m}(\Delta)\right\} \leq 4 / n
$$

it suffices to study the convergence of the Stieltjes transform $f_{n, m}$ of $\bar{N}_{n, m}$.

- Notation:
$G_{n, m}=G_{H_{n, m}}$ is the resolvent of the matrix $H_{n, m}$. $g_{n, m}(z)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{n, m}-z\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} G_{n, m}(z)$ is the Stietjes transform of $N_{n, m}$ $\mathbf{E}\left\{g_{n, m}\right\}=f_{n, m}$ is the Stietjes transform of $\bar{N}_{n, m}$. $L_{\alpha}(X)=Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}(X)=\left(X, Y_{\alpha}\right) Y_{\alpha}, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}\right)$


## Continuing the proof: induction and equation $(*)$

Forgetting the indices $n, m$, let $G$ be the resolvent of $H_{n, m}$ and write $\bar{G}$ for its expectation. Denote also $G_{\alpha}=\left.G\right|_{Y_{\alpha}=0}$.
Now we write the resolvent in order to fit with our equation (*).
We start from

$$
\bar{G}=-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathbf{E}\left\{\frac{\tau_{\alpha}}{1+\tau_{\alpha}\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)} G_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}\right\}
$$

and notice that $\mathbf{E}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}=\mathbf{E}\left\{n^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} G_{\alpha}\right\} \sim f_{n, m} \sim f$.
Take the normalized trace of $\bar{G}$.
After all these approximations we arrive at the relation (*) we want

$$
f \sim-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z} \frac{m}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d \tau)}{1+\tau f} f .
$$

## Continuing the proof (3)

Finally after all these approximations we arrive at the relation (*) we want

$$
f \sim-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z} \frac{m}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d \tau)}{1+\tau f} f .
$$

Conclusion: let

$$
\bar{G}=-\frac{1}{z}+\frac{1}{z} \frac{m}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d \tau)}{1+\tau f} \bar{G}+R .
$$

It suffices to show that the normalized trace of $R$ goes to 0 .
For that we first trunctate the vectors to reduce to the case when all $\left|Y_{\alpha}\right|$ are bounded by some universal constant $C$.

The first term that appears in $R$ after truncation at level $C$ is of the form

$$
R_{1}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathbf{E}\left\{\tau_{\alpha} G\left(Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right| \geq t}\right\}
$$

## Truncation. A fundamental result of G. Paouris.

For the truncation technic one needs a good control of quantities like

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right| \geq C}\right\}
$$

for a fixed large $C$. For this we use the following result:

## Truncation. A fundamental result of G. Paouris.

For the truncation technic one needs a good control of quantities like

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right| \geq C}\right\}
$$

for a fixed large $C$. For this we use the following result:
Theorem (G. Paouris) There exists $C>0$ such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any isotropic random vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a log-concave distribution we have
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$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right|^{q} \mathbf{1}_{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right| \geq C}\right\}
$$

for a fixed large $C$. For this we use the following result:
Theorem (G. Paouris) There exists $C>0$ such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any isotropic random vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a log-concave distribution we have

$$
\mathbf{P}\{|Y| \geq C t\} \leq \exp (-t \sqrt{n})
$$

for every $t \geq 1$.
As a consequence,

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right|^{2} \mathbf{1}_{\left|Y_{\alpha}\right| \geq C}\right\} \leq c \exp \left(-c^{\prime} \sqrt{n}\right)
$$

## Continuing the proof

An other "typical" term of $R$ is of the form:

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\mid \mathbf{E}_{\alpha}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)-f_{n, m} \mid\right\}\right\}
$$

which is

$$
\leq \mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Var}_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right\}+\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|g_{\alpha}-g_{n, m}\right|\right\}+\operatorname{Var}_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\left\{g_{n, m}\right\}
$$

with

$$
\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}=n^{-1} \operatorname{Tr} G_{\alpha}:=g_{\alpha}
$$

Here $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}\{\ldots\}$ denotes the expectation only with respect to $Y_{\alpha}$.
Let us focus on the first term

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Var}_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right\} .
$$

Recall: $g_{n, m}(z)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(H_{n, m}-z\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} G_{H_{n, m}}(z), \mathbf{E}\left\{g_{n, m}\right\}=f_{n, m}$.

## Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits type inequality

The first term again is

$$
\mathbf{E}\left\{\operatorname{Var}_{\alpha}^{1 / 2}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right\}
$$

where $G_{\alpha}$ is the resolvent of an hermitian matrix. We want to show that it goes to 0 with $n$, $m$.

We can reformulate the question: estimate

$$
\operatorname{Var}\{(G Y, Y)\}
$$

in terms of some norm of $G$, where $G$ is an $n \times n$ hermitian matrix (non-random) and $Y$ is an isotropic random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) with a log-concave law.
Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits type of inequality

$$
\operatorname{Var} g(Y) \leq \frac{C}{n} \mathbf{E}|\nabla g(Y)|^{2}
$$

for $g(Y)=(G Y, Y)$ with $G$ an Hermitian matrice.

## Central limit problem: a result of B. Klartag

When $G$ is the identity, it is the central limit problem for log-concave measure. An important breakthrough was done recently by B. Klartag. We state here the following reformulation which fit with our questions.

Theorem (B. Klartag) There exist positive constants $C, \alpha$ (with $\alpha<1$ ), such that for any integer $n \geq 1$ and any isotropic random vector $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a log-concave distribution we have

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left\{|Y|^{2}\right\} \leq C / n^{\alpha}
$$

The conjecture is with $\alpha=1$. An estimate of the type

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left\{|Y|^{2}\right\} \leq C / \log ^{\alpha} n
$$

was first given by B. Klartag. Few times later, a similar estimate was proved by B. Fleury, O. Guédon, G. Paouris using a different approach. Recently, B. Klartag gave the above polynomial bound and proved the conjecture in the unconditionnal case.

## End of the proof

From the result of Klartag, we deduce:
Proposition. Let $A$ be an hermitian matrix and let $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) be an isotropic random vector with a log-concave distribution. Then

$$
\operatorname{Var}\{(A Y, Y)\} \leq C\|A\|^{2} / n^{\alpha}
$$

where $\|A\|$ denotes the operator norm.
To conclude our estimate, observe that the resolvent of an hermitian matrix $A$ satisfies

$$
\left\|G_{A}(z)\right\| \leq|\Im z|^{-1}
$$

which allows to show that on any compact domain of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$
\lim _{n} \operatorname{Var}_{\alpha}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}=0
$$

