## Marchenko-Pastur distribution for random vectors with log-concave law

Alain Pajor University Paris-Est

Joint work with Leonid Pastur

#### **Global regime for sample covariance matrices**

We consider a sequence of real or complex  $m \times n$  matrices

$$\Gamma_{n,m}$$
  $n=1,2,\ldots$ 

$$\Gamma_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11}^{(n)} & \dots & \gamma_{1n}^{(n)} \\ \gamma_{21}^{(n)} & \dots & \gamma_{2n}^{(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{m1}^{(n)} & \dots & \gamma_{mn}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $m \sim cn$  and c > 1. We suppose that these matrices are **isotropic**, that is:

for all 
$$i, j$$
  $\mathbb{E}\gamma_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}|\gamma_{ij}^{(n)}|^2 = \frac{1}{n}$ .

in the complex case, we suppose moreover that  $\mathbb{E}(\gamma_{ij}^{(n)})^2 = 0$ .

Denote  $\lambda_1 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$  the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian)  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Gamma^*\Gamma$  and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure  $N_{n,m}$ , setting for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Card}\{\ell \in [1,n] : \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\}/n.$$

Denote  $\lambda_1 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$  the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian)  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Gamma^*\Gamma$  and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure  $N_{n,m}$ , setting for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Card}\{\ell \in [1,n] : \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\}/n.$$

Let c > 1, it was shown by **Marchenko and Pastur [MP] (1967)** that if all the components of the matrices are i.i.d. random variables, then there exists a non-random probability measure N such that for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have the convergence in probability

$$\lim_{n \to \infty, \ m \to \infty, \ m/n \to c} N_{n,m}(\Delta) = N(\Delta)$$

where N is the so-called Marchenko-Pastur law.

Denote  $\lambda_1 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$  the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian)  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Gamma^*\Gamma$  and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure  $N_{n,m}$ , setting for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Card}\{\ell \in [1,n] : \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\}/n.$$

Let c > 1, it was shown by **Marchenko and Pastur [MP] (1967)** that if all the components of the matrices are i.i.d. random variables, then there exists a non-random probability measure N such that for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have the convergence in probability

$$\lim_{n \to \infty, \ m \to \infty, \ m/n \to c} N_{n,m}(\Delta) = N(\Delta)$$

where N is the so-called Marchenko-Pastur law.

*N* is supported on the interval [a, b] with  $a = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^2$ ,  $b = \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}\right)^2$  and with density

$$\frac{c}{2\pi x}\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}, \quad x \in [a,b].$$

Denote  $\lambda_1 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$  the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian)  $n \times n$  matrix  $\Gamma^*\Gamma$  and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure  $N_{n,m}$ , setting for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Card}\{\ell \in [1,n] : \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\}/n.$$

Let c > 1, it was shown by **Marchenko and Pastur [MP] (1967)** that if all the components of the matrices are i.i.d. random variables, then there exists a non-random probability measure N such that for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have the convergence in probability

$$\lim_{n \to \infty, \ m \to \infty, \ m/n \to c} N_{n,m}(\Delta) = N(\Delta)$$

where N is the so-called Marchenko-Pastur law.

Note: The particular case with Gaussian components is known since the 30th in statistics as the Wishart matrix.

#### **General setting and spherical case**

Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) and  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random variables with common probability law  $\sigma$ . Set

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

#### **General setting and spherical case**

Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) and  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random variables with common probability law  $\sigma$ . Set

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

$$\Gamma_{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ Y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

#### **General setting and spherical case**

Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) and  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random variables with common probability law  $\sigma$ . Set

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

Denote  $\lambda_1 \leq ... \leq \lambda_n$  the eigenvalues of the real symmetric (or hermitian)  $n \times n$  matrix  $H_{n,m}$  and introduce their Normalized Counting (or empirical) Measure  $N_{n,m}$ , setting for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ 

$$N_{n,m}(\Delta) = \operatorname{Card}\{\ell \in [1,n] : \lambda_{\ell} \in \Delta\}/n.$$

It was shown by **Marchenko and Pastur [MP]**(1967) that if  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  are uniformly distributed over the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ), then there exists a non-random probability measure N such that for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have the convergence in probability

$$\lim_{n \to \infty, \ m \to \infty, \ m/n \to c} N_{n,m}(\Delta) = N(\Delta).$$

 $L_{\alpha}(X) = Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}(X) = (X, Y_{\alpha})Y_{\alpha}, \ \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ 

A more general but similar case as the spherical one was observed by **L**. **Pastur and A. P.** (2004).

Let  $p \ge 1$ . Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be uniformly distributed over a ball  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p} \le r^{p}\}$  of the *n*-dimensional  $\ell_{p}^{n}$  space that has been rescaled so that the matrix

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

is isotropic. Then the Marchenko-Pastur theorem is also valid.

Let  $p \ge 1$ . Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be uniformly distributed over a ball  $\{\sum_{1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p} \le r^{p}\}$  of the *n*-dimensional  $\ell_{p}^{n}$  space that has been rescaled so that the matrix

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

is isotropic. Then the Marchenko-Pastur theorem is also valid.

The proof used the Stieltjes transform method of [MP] and the fact that the square of coordinates functionals in  $\ell_p^n$  space are negatively correlated (Anttila-Ball-Perissinaki).

Let  $p \ge 1$ . Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be uniformly distributed over a ball  $\{\sum_{1}^{n} |x_{i}|^{p} \le r^{p}\}$  of the *n*-dimensional  $\ell_{p}^{n}$  space that has been rescaled so that the matrix

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

is isotropic. Then the Marchenko-Pastur theorem is also valid.

The proof used the Stieltjes transform method of [MP] and the fact that the square of coordinates functionals in  $\ell_p^n$  space are negatively correlated (Anttila-Ball-Perissinaki).

This property is very particular to the  $\ell_p$  space (or of similar spaces) and is not true in general even for unconditional space (see **S. Bobkov** and **J. O. Wojtaszczyk**).

#### **Aubrun method**

An other proof of this result for  $\ell_p$  was given by **G. Aubrun** (2005) using a very elegant method which in fact gives more information in that case.

## **Aubrun method**

An other proof of this result for  $\ell_p$  was given by **G. Aubrun** (2005) using a very elegant method which in fact gives more information in that case.

The method is based on the following result of **Barthe - Guédon -Mendelson - Naor**.

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  and Z be independent so that the first have a distribution with a density of the form  $c_p e^{-|t|^p}$  and the last has an exponential law. Let  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , then

$$\frac{X}{\left(\sum_{1}^{n} |X_i|^p + Z\right)^{1/p}}$$

generates the Lebesgue measure on  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p \le 1\}$ .

### **Aubrun method**

An other proof of this result for  $\ell_p$  was given by **G. Aubrun** (2005) using a very elegant method which in fact gives more information in that case.

The method is based on the following result of **Barthe - Guédon -Mendelson - Naor**.

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  and Z be independent so that the first have a distribution with a density of the form  $c_p e^{-|t|^p}$  and the last has an exponential law. Let  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ , then

 $\frac{X}{\left(\sum_{1}^{n}|X_{i}|^{p}+Z\right)^{1/p}}$ 

generates the Lebesgue measure on  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|^p \le 1\}$ .

This representation which creates almost independent entries allows to transfer results on Wishart matrices to this case of random sampling by bringing back to the classical Marchenko-Pastur theorem for i.i.d. entries.

**Definition of isotropic vectors.** A random real vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called isotropic if

 $\mathbf{E}\{(Y,X)\} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}\{|(Y,X)|^2\} = n^{-1}|X|^2, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

where |X| denotes the Euclidean norm of X.

**Definition of isotropic vectors.** A random real vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called isotropic if

 $\mathbf{E}\{(Y,X)\} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}\{|(Y,X)|^2\} = n^{-1}|X|^2, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

where |X| denotes the Euclidean norm of X.

A random complex vector  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called isotropic if  $(\Re Y, \Im Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is isotropic; in others words,  $\Re Y$  and  $\Im Y$  are isotropic and not correlated.

**Definition of isotropic vectors.** A random real vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called isotropic if

 $\mathbf{E}\{(Y,X)\} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}\{|(Y,X)|^2\} = n^{-1}|X|^2, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

where |X| denotes the Euclidean norm of X.

A random complex vector  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called isotropic if  $(\Re Y, \Im Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is isotropic; in others words,  $\Re Y$  and  $\Im Y$  are isotropic and not correlated.

**Alert on normalization!!** 

**Definition of isotropic vectors.** A random real vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is called isotropic if

 $\mathbf{E}\{(Y,X)\} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{E}\{|(Y,X)|^2\} = n^{-1}|X|^2, \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^n,$ 

where |X| denotes the Euclidean norm of X.

A random **complex vector**  $Y \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is called isotropic if  $(\Re Y, \Im Y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is isotropic; in others words,  $\Re Y$  and  $\Im Y$  are isotropic and not correlated.

#### Alert on normalization!!

Recall also that a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is called log-concave if for any  $\theta \in [0,1]$  and any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $f(\theta X_1 + (1-\theta)X_2) \ge f(X_1)^{\theta} f(X_2)^{1-\theta}$ .

A measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a log-concave density will be called **log-concave**.

#### **Universal principle for log-concave measure**

**Theorem (L. Pastur and A. P.)** Let  $\{Y_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. isotropic random vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^{n}$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) with a log-concave distribution and  $\{\tau_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha=1}^{m}$  be i.i.d. random variables with a common probability law  $\sigma$ . Consider random matrices

$$H_{n,m} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \tau_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha} \cdot$$

Then there exist a probability measure N such that for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  we have in probability

$$\lim_{n \to \infty, m \to \infty, m/n \to c \in [0,\infty)} N_{n,m}(\Delta) = N(\Delta).$$

• *N* is uniquely determined by its Stieltjes transform which satisfies the following equation:

$$f(z) = -\left(z - c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d\tau)}{1 + \tau f(z)}\right)^{-1}.$$
 (\*)

### **Stieltjes transform method: [MP] (1967)**

Introduce the Stieltjes transform

$$f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{N(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}, \ \Im z \neq 0$$

of a measure N and the resolvent of a real symmetric (hermitian) matrix A

$$G_A(z) = (A - z)^{-1}, \ \Im z \neq 0.$$

The use of the Stieltjes transform is based on the spectral theorem. Let  $N_{n,m}$  be the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of  $H_{n,m}$ . Denote

$$g_{n,m}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{N_{n,m}(d\lambda)}{\lambda - z}, \ \Im z \neq 0$$

then

$$g_{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} (H_{n,m} - z)^{-1} := \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} G_{H_{n,m}}(z).$$

# **Stieltjes transform method (2)**

The mechanism of the proof is the following.

• The expectations of the the Normalized Counting Measure of eigenvalues of  $H_{n,m}$  will converge weakly to the measure, whose Stieltjes transform solves

$$f(z) = -\left(z - c \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d\tau)}{1 + \tau f(z)}\right)^{-1}.$$
 (\*)

(This equation has a unique solution in the class we consider).

• The Stieltjes transform is a one-to-one correspondence between probability measures and a certain well known class of analytic functions and it is continuous if one consider weak convergence of measure on one side and uniform convergence on compact subset of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  on the other side.

# **Beginning the proof (1)**

• Since for any interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,

 $\operatorname{Var}\{N_{n,m}(\Delta)\} \le 4/n \qquad (**)$ 

it suffices to study the convergence of the Stieltjes transform  $f_{n,m}$  of  $\bar{N}_{n,m}$ .

#### • Notation:

 $G_{n,m} = G_{H_{n,m}}$  is the resolvent of the matrix  $H_{n,m}$ .  $g_{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} (H_{n,m} - z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} G_{n,m}(z)$  is the Stietjes transform of  $N_{n,m}$   $\mathbf{E}\{g_{n,m}\} = f_{n,m}$  is the Stietjes transform of  $\overline{N}_{n,m}$ .  $L_{\alpha}(X) = Y_{\alpha} \otimes Y_{\alpha}(X) = (X, Y_{\alpha})Y_{\alpha}, \ \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}(\mathbb{C}^{n})$ 

# Continuing the proof: induction and equation (\*)

Forgetting the indices n, m, let G be the resolvent of  $H_{n,m}$  and write  $\overline{G}$  for its expectation. Denote also  $G_{\alpha} = G|_{Y_{\alpha}=0}$ .

Now we write the resolvent in order to fit with our equation (\*).

We start from

$$\overline{G} = -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} \left\{ \frac{\tau_{\alpha}}{1 + \tau_{\alpha}(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha})} G_{\alpha}L_{\alpha} \right\}$$

and notice that  $\mathbf{E}\{(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha})\} = \mathbf{E}\{n^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}\,G_{\alpha}\} \sim f_{n,m} \sim f.$ 

Take the normalized trace of  $\overline{G}$ .

After all these approximations we arrive at the relation (\*) we want

$$f \sim -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z} \frac{m}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau \sigma(d\tau)}{1 + \tau f} f.$$

# **Continuing the proof (3)**

Finally after all these approximations we arrive at the relation (\*) we want

$$f \sim -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z}\frac{m}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\tau\sigma(d\tau)}{1+\tau f} f.$$

Conclusion: let

$$\overline{G} = -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z}\frac{m}{n}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{\tau\sigma(d\tau)}{1+\tau f}\overline{G} + R.$$

It suffices to show that the normalized trace of R goes to 0.

For that we first trunctate the vectors to reduce to the case when all  $|Y_{\alpha}|$  are bounded by some universal constant *C*.

The first term that appears in R after truncation at level C is of the form

$$R_1 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m \mathbf{E}\{\tau_\alpha G(Y_\alpha \otimes Y_\alpha) \mathbf{1}_{|Y_\alpha| \ge t}\}.$$

## **Truncation.** A fundamental result of G. Paouris.

For the truncation technic one needs a good control of quantities like

 $\mathbf{E}\{|Y_{\alpha}|^{q}\mathbf{1}_{|Y_{\alpha}|\geq C}\}$ 

for a fixed large C. For this we use the following result:

## **Truncation.** A fundamental result of G. Paouris.

For the truncation technic one needs a good control of quantities like

 $\mathbf{E}\{|Y_{\alpha}|^{q}\mathbf{1}_{|Y_{\alpha}|\geq C}\}$ 

for a fixed large C. For this we use the following result:

**Theorem (G. Paouris)** There exists C > 0 such that for any integer  $n \ge 1$  and any isotropic random vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with a log-concave distribution we have

 $\mathbf{P}\{|Y| \ge Ct\} \le \exp(-t\sqrt{n}).$ 

for every  $t \ge 1$ .

## **Truncation.** A fundamental result of G. Paouris.

For the truncation technic one needs a good control of quantities like

 $\mathbf{E}\{|Y_{\alpha}|^{q}\mathbf{1}_{|Y_{\alpha}|\geq C}\}$ 

for a fixed large C. For this we use the following result:

**Theorem (G. Paouris)** There exists C > 0 such that for any integer  $n \ge 1$  and any isotropic random vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with a log-concave distribution we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{|Y| \ge Ct\} \le \exp(-t\sqrt{n}).$$

for every  $t \ge 1$ .

As a consequence,

$$\mathbf{E}\{|Y_{\alpha}|^{2}\mathbf{1}_{|Y_{\alpha}|\geq C}\}\leq c\exp(-c'\sqrt{n}).$$

## **Continuing the proof**

An other "typical" term of R is of the form:

 $\mathbf{E}\left\{\left|\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha},Y_{\alpha}\right)-f_{n,m}\right|\right\}\right\}$ 

which is

with

$$\leq \mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{Var}_{\alpha}^{1/2}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha},Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right\} + \mathbf{E}\left\{\left|g_{\alpha}-g_{n,m}\right|\right\} + \mathbf{Var}_{\alpha}^{1/2}\left\{g_{n,m}\right\}.$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}\{(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha})\} = n^{-1}\mathrm{Tr}\,G_{\alpha} := g_{\alpha}$$

Here  $\mathbf{E}_{\alpha}\{...\}$  denotes the expectation only with respect to  $Y_{\alpha}$ . Let us focus on the first term

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{Var}_{\alpha}^{1/2}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha},Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right\}.$$

**Recall:**  $g_{n,m}(z) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} (H_{n,m} - z)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr} G_{H_{n,m}}(z), \ \mathbf{E}\{g_{n,m}\} = f_{n,m}.$ 

## **Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits type inequality**

The first term again is

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\mathbf{Var}_{\alpha}^{1/2}\left\{\left(G_{\alpha}Y_{\alpha},Y_{\alpha}\right)\right\}\right\}$$

where  $G_{\alpha}$  is the resolvent of an hermitian matrix. We want to show that it goes to 0 with n, m.

We can reformulate the question: estimate

 $\mathbf{Var}\{(GY,Y)\}$ 

in terms of some norm of G, where G is an  $n \times n$  hermitian matrix (non-random) and Y is an isotropic random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) with a log-concave law.

Kannan-Lovász-Simonovits type of inequality

$$\operatorname{Var} g(Y) \le \frac{C}{n} \mathbf{E} \, |\nabla g(Y)|^2$$

for g(Y) = (GY, Y) with G an Hermitian matrice.

# **Central limit problem: a result of B. Klartag**

When G is the identity, it is the central limit problem for log-concave measure. An important breakthrough was done recently by **B. Klartag**. We state here the following reformulation which fit with our questions.

**Theorem (B. Klartag)** There exist positive constants  $C, \alpha$  (with  $\alpha < 1$ ), such that for any integer  $n \ge 1$  and any isotropic random vector  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with a log-concave distribution we have

 $\operatorname{Var}\{|Y|^2\} \le C/n^{\alpha}.$ 

The conjecture is with  $\alpha = 1$ . An estimate of the type

 $\operatorname{Var}\{|Y|^2\} \le C/\log^{\alpha} n.$ 

was first given by **B. Klartag**. Few times later, a similar estimate was proved by **B. Fleury, O. Guédon, G. Paouris** using a different approach. Recently, **B. Klartag** gave the above polynomial bound and proved the conjecture in the unconditionnal case.

# **End of the proof**

From the result of Klartag, we deduce:

**Proposition.** Let A be an hermitian matrix and let  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) be an isotropic random vector with a log-concave distribution. Then

 $\operatorname{Var}\{(AY,Y)\} \le C \|A\|^2 / n^{\alpha}$ 

where ||A|| denotes the operator norm.

To conclude our estimate, observe that the resolvent of an hermitian matrix A satisfies

 $||G_A(z)|| \le |\Im z|^{-1}$ 

which allows to show that on any compact domain of  $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$  one has

$$\lim_{n} \operatorname{Var}_{\alpha} \{ (G_{\alpha} Y_{\alpha}, Y_{\alpha}) \} = 0.$$